



Data Centres and the Cost of Digital Acceleration: Environmental, Economic, and Social Impacts.

Clare Boyd

10 June 2026

Modern data centres are among the most resource-intensive forms of infrastructure in the global economy, requiring substantial amounts of energy, water, land, and materials to construct and operate. As the AI economy continues to expand, demand for data centres and the digital infrastructure that enables them is growing at an unprecedented rate.

The rapid expansion of these centres, particularly those supporting artificial intelligence (AI), is creating increasing conflict with global environmental policies aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions and to limit climate change. Almost 200 countries have signed [the Paris Agreement](#), with many officially agreeing to a national goal of achieving net-zero emissions by 2050. There has been little reconciliation between these goals and the impact of data centres.

Globally, AI is being promoted as a transformative and progressive technology, with governments and corporations accelerating investment in AI infrastructure. The expectation is AI will significantly increase productivity, reduce operational costs, automate labour-intensive processes, and drive long-term economic growth. [AI is also increasingly viewed as strategically important for national competitiveness, technological leadership, and geopolitical influence.](#)

AI advocates argue that it could contribute positively to climate goals from improving energy efficiency, optimising transportation networks, supporting renewable energy forecasting, reducing industrial waste, and accelerating scientific research related to climate solutions. Some experts believe AI could help manage complex energy systems more efficiently and improve environmental monitoring capabilities. Together, these anticipated benefits are becoming a major justification for the rapid global expansion of AI infrastructure despite ongoing uncertainty surrounding its broader long-term consequences.

The International Energy Agency (IEA) and climate researchers warn that AI-related infrastructure could significantly increase overall power consumption over the coming decade. Research from the IEA and other sources shows that AI-related infrastructure is increasing emissions, and putting serious pressure on local natural resources, particularly water and energy systems in rural or environmentally vulnerable areas. According to the [IEA](#), electricity demand from AI-focused data centres increased by 50% in 2025, outpacing growth in global

electricity demand by 3%, while overall data centre electricity demand increased by 17% in 2025.

Water consumption is also emerging as a major environmental concern, particularly in rural communities where hyperscale AI infrastructure has become a target of community disquiet. Some communities have reported direct local impacts including water shortages, falling water pressure, noise pollution, and environmental stress linked to large AI data centre developments. Emerging research also indicates that large AI data centres may contribute to measurable local temperature increases in surrounding regions, referred to as ‘data heat islands’.

Australia is facing a surge in data centre builds. It may not be prepared for the negative environmental consequences. In April 2026, [Microsoft](#) announced a commitment of A\$25 billion through to the end of 2029 to expand its data centre and AI infrastructure in Australia. While Microsoft aligns itself to Australia’s environmental sustainability goals through renewable energy and water-positive commitments, the rapid expansion of AI infrastructure still raises unresolved questions about electricity demand, fossil fuel dependency, and the environmental costs of large-scale digital growth.

Because Australia’s energy grid still relies partly on fossil fuels, this growth may increase emissions unless renewable energy infrastructure expands at a comparable pace. This creates contradictions between accelerating digital infrastructure development and achieving climate targets Australia has committed to under the Paris Agreement. As of September 2025, Australia submitted its second [NDC](#), targeting a 62–70% reduction in emissions below 2005 levels by 2035, building on its legislated 43% reduction target by 2030.

The environmental costs of AI infrastructure are increasingly being accepted by governments and private sector entities, both treating AI infrastructure as strategic, economic and geopolitical priority. This is despite many of the expected benefits remaining uncertain, uncontested, or unevenly distributed.

Economic and Societal Implications

The rapid acceleration of artificial intelligence is also raising broader economic and societal questions, particularly around employment, inequality, workforce transition, education systems and social stability.

While many economists and technology leaders argue AI will create new industries and employment opportunities, the transition is expected to be highly disruptive as reported by [Boston Consulting Group](#) (BCG). The [World Economic Forum](#) estimates that by 2030 approximately 92 million jobs may be displaced globally, while 170 million new roles could emerge. However, the challenge may not simply be the number of jobs created, but whether societies can adapt quickly enough through education, retraining, workforce transition, and equitable access to emerging opportunities.

Meanwhile, leading artificial intelligence experts increasingly warn that AI is moving beyond traditional task automation toward the direct replacement of human labour across a growing number of professions.

In the near term, industry leaders argue that entry-level professional roles are especially vulnerable. [Fortune](#) reports that Mustafa Suleyman, CEO of Microsoft AI, warned that rapid advances in AI systems are likely to target administrative, analytical, and knowledge-based work traditionally performed by humans. Similarly, [Dario Amodei](#), CEO of Anthropic, has cautioned that a substantial proportion of entry-level white-collar jobs may disappear within the coming years, particularly affecting sectors such as law, finance, consulting, and marketing. These concerns reflect broader fears that younger workers may face shrinking pathways into professional careers as AI systems increasingly perform foundational workplace tasks previously used for training and progression.

Longer-term warnings extend beyond workforce disruption toward the possibility of large-scale societal restructuring. Geoffrey Hinton, who pioneered the foundational technologies behind modern artificial neural networks and often referred to as the “Godfather of AI,” has publicly expressed regret regarding aspects of AI development, warning that AI may eventually replace much of the cognitive labour currently undertaken by humans and could pose significant risks to society if left unchecked. Meanwhile, AI safety researcher Roman Yampolskiy [predicts](#) that AI and humanoid robotics could eventually automate most human work, raising concerns about economic displacement, social instability, and the absence of viable long-term retraining pathways for displaced workers.

Taken together, these warnings highlight growing uncertainty surrounding the long-term economic and social consequences of AI expansion.

Researchers also warn that overreliance on generative AI may weaken critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and independent learning, while also increasing risks relating to misinformation, academic integrity, and cognitive dependency. Some leading researchers and technology executives have also issued warnings regarding the future development of artificial superintelligence (ASI), particularly surrounding the possibility that humans may lose meaningful control over systems that vastly exceed human cognitive capability. While these concerns remain speculative, they contribute to a broader debate about whether AI development is advancing more rapidly than the governance, regulation, and societal safeguards needed to manage it responsibly.

Conclusion

The question is no longer simply whether AI can accelerate innovation, but whether governments, economies, and societies can adapt quickly enough to manage the environmental, economic, and social consequences of unprecedented technological expansion.

The rapid expansion of AI infrastructure may ultimately represent one of the largest uncontrolled technological and societal experiments of the modern era. While AI is widely promoted as essential to economic progress, productivity, and innovation, its long-term

impacts on environmental sustainability employment, inequality, human wellbeing, and democratic systems, remain uncertain and largely unmeasured. At the same time, the physical infrastructure supporting AI - particularly energy-intensive data centres is already contributing measurable environmental pressures through rising electricity demand, emissions, water consumption, and resource extraction.

As governments and corporations continue accelerating AI deployment, societies risk becoming structurally dependent on systems whose broader consequences are not yet fully understood. This raises a critical question: whether the global race for AI is creating forms of social, economic, and environmental change that future generations may be unable to easily reverse, even if the long-term harms ultimately outweigh the benefits.

With investment in AI infrastructure accelerates globally, societies may ultimately be making long-term environmental, social, and economic trade-offs before the full benefits, limitations, and consequences of AI are properly understood. Many of these concerns are emerging even before artificial superintelligence has been realised.